UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,855	08/21/2003	Tadahiro Ohmi	8075-1055-1	1521
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 03/20/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St		MCNEIL, JENNIFER C		
Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,85		OHMI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		JENNIFER	MCNEIL	1794			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ret to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided period for reply will.	CONTROPTH R 1.136(a). In no ever riod will apply and will atute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tim  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	J.  nely filed  the mailing date of this of the mailing date of this of the control of the contr			
Status							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is no wance except f	or formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 3,5 and 6 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 3,5,6 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawn from cor d/or election re niner. accepted or b)[	quirement. □ objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 1794

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Several grammatical and typographical errors appear in the instant specification. The following are a few of the instances: Page 2, line 20, "there has been problem" is grammatically incorrect; Page 4, line 8, "tot he" is incorrect; Page 7, line 1, "Definition" should not be capitalized.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the phrase "said film consisting of Cr2O3". Applicant points to Figure 2 with the point at 30 nm for support stating that the ratio of 60/40 Cr/O results in this formula. This is not considered to provide clear support for this phrase. Figure 2 shows that not all of the film is made of a ratio that is 60/40 (oxide is present up to 100 nm) and the claim is not limited to a specific thickness of the layer. Therefore, support for the entire film being made of Cr2O3 does not appear to be present in the application. Additionally, there is no clear disclosure that Cr2o3 is the sole chromium oxide that is formed by the method.

There is no clear way to ascertain what types of chromium oxides are present in the film based upon the Figure. The film formed may be a mixture of different chromium oxides (such as chromate and Cr2O3) which result in the values represented in the graph. Therefore, it is not clear that there is support for the layer being solely made of Cr2O3 based upon Figure 2.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/02/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended the claims to overcome a 112-first paragraph rejection. The addition of "wet plating method" has overcome the previous rejection. However, the addition of "the film consisting of Cr2O3" has necessitated the new 112-first paragraph rejection as stated above.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,855 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jennifer McNeil whose telephone number is (571)271-1540. The examiner

can normally be reached on M onday- Friday from 8-5.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JENNIFER MCNEIL/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794